UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA SOUTHERN DIVISION

LISA MAXWELL,

CV 20-4081

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

٧.

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

ROSENBAUER SOUTH DAKOTA, LLC.,

Defendant.

Plaintiff Lisa Maxwell brings this action for employment discrimination and reprisal, stating the following claims against Rosenbauer South Dakota, LLC:

PARTIES

- 1. Lisa Maxwell ("Maxwell") is an adult resident of Minnehaha County, South Dakota.
- 2. Rosenbauer South Dakota, LLC ("Rosenbauer") is a Delaware limited liability company that operates plants in South Dakota, Minnesota and Austria.
- 3. Rosenbauer operates a manufacturing plant in rural Lyons, Minnehaha County, South Dakota, where it produces firetrucks and related fire-fighting equipment. It has a staff of more than 15 employees at its Lyons plant.
- 4. At all relevant times, Maxwell was an employee of Rosenbauer within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(f).

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 5. This sexual discrimination and reprisal employment action arises under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq* ("Title VII"). As such, this Court has original jurisdiction to hear this Complaint and to adjudicate the claims asserted pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 6. Maxwell timely filed a Charge of Discrimination and received a Notice of Right to Sue on February 28, 2020.
- 7. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within the Southern Division of the District of South Dakota.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Maxwell is a female who was hired at Rosenbauer in April 2007.
- 9. Maxwell was employed by Rosenbauer in its Lyons' plant finishing shop for over a decade, until May 2019.
- 10. During her employment, Maxwell performed in a manner that met her employer's expectations.
- 11. Throughout Maxwell's employment, her supervisors and some co-workers treated her in a demeaning, hostile way because of her sex. For example, Ms. Maxwell's original supervisor chose to publicly call her "crack whore" and "retard" instead of her name, often in the presence of other co-workers. To the best of her knowledge, this supervisor was never disciplined for his repeated, public violations of the Defendant's written anti-discrimination policies.
- 12. In approximately 2011, Justin Hackett ("Hackett") was promoted to the position of Maxwell's direct supervisor, and in that position Hackett had the power to cause Maxwell's

employment to be terminated and to otherwise negatively impact the terms and conditions of her employment. Maxwell's husband also worked at the plant at this time.

- 13. Shortly after becoming her supervisor, Hackett approached Maxwell and demanded that she had to engage in oral sex with him in the Rosenbauer workplace or he would get her fired.
- 14. Maxwell needed her employment and felt powerless to refuse her supervisor's demands for sex.
- 15. In 2013, Hackett was promoted to be Rosenbauer's assistant plant manager, a promotion that only solidified his ability to take adverse employment action against Maxwell.
- 16. Maxwell estimates that Hackett demanded oral sex from her at least 20 times in the workplace between 2011 and 2015.
- 17. During the period that Maxwell was complying with his demands for sex, Hackett promoted Maxwell and recommended her for raises.
- 18. In approximately 2014, one of Maxwell's other supervisors ("Supervisor A") approached her in the workplace, exposed his uncircumsized penis and asked her to touch him. She refused.
- 19. On one occasion, another Rosenbauer employee walked and observed Hackett and Maxwell engaged in a sex act in Hackett's office. Though this behavior was directly contrary to Rosenbauer's written policies and procedures, neither male or female employees felt safe reporting managers for violations of the Defendant's policies.
- 20. Maxwell was disgusted and ashamed about being sexually abused by her supervisor. She also remained fearful of losing her job and her marriage. Her despair and

hopelessness caused Maxwell to suffer from significant physical and exacerbated emotional health issues, including a substance use disorder.

- 21. Hackett was aware of Maxwell's health issues.
- 22. To the best of her knowledge, Hackett also pursued at least one other female Rosenbauer employee for sex in a similar manner. A female co-worker supervised by Hackett has represented to Maxwell that she has had sex with Hackett in the workplace too.
- 23. In 2016, Hackett was promoted to be the general manager of Rosenbauer's Lyons plant.
- 24. Hackett eventually ceased his active pursuit of Maxwell for sex, but even after that Maxwell's male co-workers and managers continued to treat Maxwell in a demeaning and dehumanizing way.
- 25. In October 2018, one of Maxwell's co-workers roughly grabbed Maxwell by her breast. Maxwell built up the courage and reported this physical abuse to the HR manager at the Lyon's plant.
- 26. When Maxwell arrived to be interviewed by the HR manager about her sexual harassment complaint, Hackett was present at the meeting. Maxwell felt incredibly intimidated by Hackett's presence.
- 27. To the best of Maxwell's knowledge, Maxwell's co-worker ultimately admitted that he had grabbed her breast.
- 28. Immediately after her sexual harassment report, Maxwell was suspended for three days by Supervisor A, who told Maxwell that he could get her fired. Maxwell understood Supervisor A's comment to be a threat to prevent her from reporting him for having exposed himself to her in the workplace.

- 29. Thereafter, Maxwell was subjected to different supervision and performance standards than other male co-workers by Supervisor A, and she became the subject of disciplinary actions overseen by Supervisor A and Hackett.
- 30. In March 2019, Supervisor A disciplined Maxwell for cell phone use even though other similarly situated male co-workers were not subject to disciplinary action for similar or worse behavior.
- 31. In late April 2019, the co-worker who had grabbed Maxwell's breast began coming into her work area to make unnecessary contact with her. Maxwell reported this matter to the company HR. In response, Hackett talked to Maxwell and advised her to "suck it up."
- 32. On May 15, 2019, Supervisor A again disciplined Maxwell for performing a cleaning job in a truck in a manner he subjectively described as "half asleep" even though similarly situated male co-workers were not subject to disciplinary action for similar or worse behavior.
- 33. On May 17, 2019, Supervisor A again confronted Maxwell about allegedly failing to clean a truck.
- 34. Frustrated by being targeted for disciplinary action by managers and a co-worker who had sexually harassed her, Maxwell was constructively discharged on May 17, 2019.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

Reprisal Discrimination in Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs by reference.
- 36. Title VII at 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a) provides that it is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to "to discriminate against any individual" "because [s]he has opposed

any practice made an unlawful employment practice by this subchapter, or because [s]he has made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under this subchapter."

- 37. Plaintiff opposed and reported discrimination in her workplace pursuant to company policy.
- 38. Plaintiff was disciplined, suspended without pay, subjected to different standards of supervision and eventually constructively terminated in retaliation for her objections to discrimination and her protected conduct in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3.
- 39. Defendant's actions were likely to dissuade a reasonable worker in the same or similar circumstances from making or supporting a report of discrimination.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered loss of past and future income and employee benefits, mental anguish, emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, loss of reputation, and other damages.
- 41. Plaintiff should be entitled to punitive damages because Defendant committed the above-alleged conduct with reckless disregard and/or deliberate disregard for her rights and safety.

COUNT II Sex Discrimination in Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs by reference.
- 43. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1) provides that it is unlawful for an employer "to fail or refuse to hire or to discharge any individual, or to otherwise discriminate against any individual with respect to his compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of such individual's …sex.." Title VII defines sex discrimination to include sexual harassment.

- 44. Defendant's conduct described herein violates 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2.
- 45. Plaintiff was subject to different terms, conditions and pay in her employment because of her sex.
- 46. Plaintiff was repeatedly subjected to offensive, abusive, severe commentary and conduct that altered the terms and conditions of her employment, including quip pro quo sexual harassment by her direct supervisor.
 - 47. The discriminatory conduct was unwelcomed.
 - 48. The discriminatory conduct was based upon Plaintiff's sex.
- 49. A reasonable worker in Plaintiff's position would have found Plaintiff's work environment to be hostile.
 - 50. Plaintiff believed her work environment to be hostile.
- 51. Plaintiff's objection to ongoing sex discrimination and sexual harassment in the workplace was the motivating factor for adverse employment actions against her, including disciplinary actions and other unfavorable terms and conditions of her employment.
- 52. Defendant failed to take prompt and remedial action to investigate, address and end Plaintiff's harassment.
- 53. Defendant's tolerance of sex discrimination and sexual harassment made Plaintiff's working conditions intolerable.
- 54. Defendant sought to cause Plaintiff to resign out of frustration or to otherwise fail in the workplace enough to justify her termination after she began to formally object to the Defendant's tolerance of sex discrimination and sexual harassment in its workplace.
 - 55. Defendant caused Plaintiff to be constructively discharged from employment.

56. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer past and present loss of income, mental anguish, emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, loss of reputation and other damages.

57. Plaintiff should be entitled to punitive damages because Defendant committed the above-alleged conduct with reckless disregard and/or deliberate disregard for her rights and safety.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Lisa Maxwell prays for judgment against Defendant Rosenbauer South Dakota as follows:

- A. That the practices of Defendants complained of in this Complaint be determined to violate the rights secured to Plaintiff under Title VII;
- B. For all relief available to Plaintiff, including compensatory relief and damages arising from loss of past and future income, benefits, emotional distress, and other damages, with interest on such amounts, and punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$75,000;
- C. For such other and further relief available;
- D. For Plaintiff's attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements incurred in this matter;
- E. For a jury trial on all issues; and
- F. For such further and other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Dated: May 20, 2020.

JOHNSON POCHOP & BARTLING LLP

Stephanie E. Pochop (SD # 1379) 405 Main Street

Gregory, SD 57533

Telephone: (605) 835-8391 Facsimile: (605) 835-8742 Stephanie@Rosebudlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Lisa Maxwell

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12) Case

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

Case 4:20-cv-04081-KES Document 1, Filed 05/20/20 Page 10 of 10 PageID #: 10

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	seker sheet. (bbb mornoc	HONG ON MEXI THOS O	1 11115 1 0	74.12.7					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS LISA MAXWELL				DEFENDANTS Rosenbauer America, LLC					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Minnehaha County, SD (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
						NDEMNATIO OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE T IVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF	
Stephanie E. Poch	Address, and Telephone Number op Johnson Pochop PO Box 149 Gregory, S	& Bartling	9-0665	Attorneys (If Kn	iown)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP O	F PF	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State X 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 X 4 of Business In This State					
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	1 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	Citize	en of Another State		2 🗆 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A			
W. MARKING OR CEIVE				en or Subject of a reign Country	٥	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) PRTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENAL	TY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
 □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise 	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury -	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaccutical Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	- 69 RTY - 71 - 72	25 Drug Related Seizum of Property 21 USC 20 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act	881	423 Witho 28 U PROPEF 820 Copy 830 Paten 840 Trade SOCIAL 861 HIA (862 Black	SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rrights tt cmark SECURITY (1395ff) c Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate	NS □ 79	10 Other Labor Litigation of Employee Retirement Income Security Act	ıt	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party		□ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	□ 46	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Appli 5 Other Immigration Actions			SC 7609	State Statutes	
	noved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reo _l	pened A	nother	red from District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	142 U.S.C. 20003	tute under which you are seq ("Title VII of use:	re filing <i>(I</i> the Civi	Do not cite jurisdiction	pecify) al statu 964, a	tes unless div as amend	versity): led")		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	1 D	EMAND \$			HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: X Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKE	T NUMBER		
DATE 05/20/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of at				241			

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE